

(12) **United States Patent**
Han et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,330,227 B1**
(45) **Date of Patent:** **May 3, 2016**

(54) **TESTBENCH BUILDER, SYSTEM, DEVICE
AND METHOD INCLUDING A DISPATCHER**

(71) Applicant: **XPLIANT, INC.**, San Jose, CA (US)

(72) Inventors: **Keqin Kenneth Han**, Fremont, CA
(US); **Nimalan Siva**, San Ramon, CA
(US); **Mohan Balan**, Santa Clara, CA
(US); **Saurin Patel**, San Jose, CA (US)

(73) Assignee: **Cavium Inc.**, San Jose, CA (US)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this
patent is extended or adjusted under 35
U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

(21) Appl. No.: **14/617,610**

(22) Filed: **Feb. 9, 2015**

Related U.S. Application Data

(60) Provisional application No. 62/080,174, filed on Nov.
14, 2014, provisional application No. 62/080,158,
filed on Nov. 14, 2014, provisional application No.
62/080,214, filed on Nov. 14, 2014, provisional
application No. 62/080,194, filed on Nov. 14, 2014,
provisional application No. 62/080,235, filed on Nov.
14, 2014.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
G06F 11/22 (2006.01)
G06F 17/50 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **G06F 17/5081** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**
CPC G06F 17/5036; G06F 17/5022
USPC 716/136
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,216,098 B1 * 4/2001 Clancey G06Q 10/10
703/6

* cited by examiner


Primary Examiner — Suresh Memula



(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Haverstock & Owens LLP


(57) **ABSTRACT**

A testbench for testing a device under test (DUT), wherein the testbench has a verification environment including a reference model, a scoreboard and a customized agent for each interface that the DUT needs to receive input from and/or transmit output on. The testbench system is able to be generated by a testbench builder that automatically creates a scoreboard, a reference model, a dispatcher and generic agents including generic drivers, loopback ports, sequencers and/or generic monitors for each interface and then automatically customize the generic agents based on their corresponding interface such that the agents meet the requirements of the interface for the DUT.

40 Claims, 14 Drawing Sheets



**Fig. 1****Fig. 2**

**Fig. 3**






Fig. 4

**Fig. 5**

**Fig. 6**




Fig. 7A




Fig. 7B




Fig. 7C







Fig. 8

**Fig. 9**

**Fig. 10A****Fig. 10B**






Fig. 10C

**Fig. 11****Fig. 12**






Fig. 13

**Fig. 14**

**Fig. 15**

TESTBENCH BUILDER, SYSTEM, DEVICE AND METHOD INCLUDING A DISPATCHER

RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims priority under 35 U.S.C. §119(e) of the U.S. provisional patent application Ser. No. 62/080,174, filed Nov. 14, 2014, and titled “TESTBENCH BUILDER, SYSTEM, DEVICE AND METHOD INCLUDING A GENERIC DRIVER AND TRANSPORTER,” the U.S. provisional patent application Ser. No. 62/080,158, filed Nov. 14, 2014, and titled “TESTBENCH BUILDER, SYSTEM, DEVICE AND METHOD,” the U.S. provisional patent application Ser. No. 62/080,214, filed Nov. 14, 2014, and titled “TESTBENCH BUILDER, SYSTEM, DEVICE AND METHOD INCLUDING A DISPATCHER,” the U.S. provisional patent application Ser. No. 62/080,194, filed Nov. 14, 2014, and titled “TESTBENCH BUILDER, SYSTEM, DEVICE AND METHOD INCLUDING A GENERIC MONITOR AND TRANSPORTER,” and the U.S. provisional patent application Ser. No. 62/080,235, filed Nov. 14, 2014, and titled “TESTBENCH BUILDER, SYSTEM, DEVICE AND METHOD HAVING AGENT LOOPBACK FUNCTIONALITY,” all of which are hereby incorporated by reference.

FIELD OF INVENTION

The present invention relates to testbenches. More particularly, the present invention relates to an automatic testbench builder.

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

When developing components for integration into a system, a number of test procedures are typically performed to ensure that the component will operate in the desired manner when integrated into the system. The development of a hardware component (also referred to herein as a device) typically takes place in a number of stages. Firstly, the functional operation/behavior of the component is defined, for example using a Register Transfer Language (RTL). Two popular RTLs used are VHDL and Verilog. In addition, prior to performing such RTL coding, a behavioral model may be built using a UML™ (Universal Modeling Language) to validate at a transactional level that the design intent is correct. Once an RTL representation of the hardware component has been developed, this is then synthesised into a sequence of hardware elements using any of a number of known synthesising tools. The result of the synthesis is a hardware design that can then be used to produce the actual hardware component, for example using appropriate fabrication of the component on silicon. It is costly to perform test procedures on the component once it has been reduced to hardware. Thus, testing of the RTL representation of the component is typically performed to ensure that the actual hardware generated from that RTL representation will operate correctly.

Such testing of the RTL representation typically involves the use of a testbench model providing a test environment for the RTL representation of the component, which is then run on a simulation tool to produce test results which can be analyzed to determine whether the RTL representation of the component is operating as required. The testbench can be formed in a variety of ways. For example, the testbench could be formed to provide a test environment for testing the RTL representation of the component in isolation, which enables direct control of the input stimuli to the RTL representation of

the component. However, this requires a particular testbench to be produced for that component representation. Another approach is to combine that RTL representation of the component to be tested with RTL representations of other components that have already been tested, and with which the component to be tested will interact. Hence, in this approach, a portion of the overall system into which the component is intended to be placed is represented in RTL, and a testbench is then constructed based on that RTL representation of the system portion. This avoids the need to produce a particular testbench specifically for the component to be tested, but results in loss of direct control over the input stimuli to the RTL representation of the particular component to be tested.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Embodiments described herein are directed to a testbench for testing a device under test (DUT), wherein the testbench has a verification environment including a reference model, a scoreboard, a dispatcher and a customized agent for each interface that the DUT needs to receive input from and/or transmit output on. The testbench system is able to be generated by a testbench builder that automatically creates generic agents including generic drivers, loopback ports, sequencers and/or generic monitors for each interface and then automatically customize the generic agents based on their corresponding interface such that the agents meet the requirements of the interface for the DUT.

A first aspect is directed to a testbench system stored on a non-transitory computer readable medium for testing operation of a device under test. The testbench system comprises a plurality of agents coupled with the device under test, wherein one or more of the plurality of agents are configured to output one or more transactions to the device under test and a different one or more of the plurality of agents are configured to input one or more device responses to the transactions from the device under test and a dispatcher including an agent table and coupled with a reference model, a scoreboard and the plurality of agents, wherein the dispatcher is configured to input data comprising a copy of each of the one or more transactions and the one or more device responses to the transactions, identify whether each portion of the data is one of the copies of each of the one or more transactions or one of the device responses based on the agent table and route each portion of data identified as one of the copies of each of the one or more transactions to the reference model and each portion of data identified as one of the one or more device responses to the scoreboard. In some embodiments, the reference model is configured to mimic a desired function of the device under test by producing a reference response to each of a copy of the one or more transactions based on the desired function and the transaction. In some embodiments, the scoreboard is coupled with the reference module and configured to receive each of the reference responses from the reference model and for each transaction compare the associated reference response to the associated device response. In some embodiments, in the agent table each of the plurality of agents is associated with either the reference model if the agent is one of the one or more of the plurality of agents that are configured to output the transactions to the device under test or the scoreboard if the agent is one of the different one or more of the plurality of agents that are configured to input the device responses from the device under test. In some embodiments, the dispatcher determines if data inputted is a copy of the copies of the transaction or one of the device responses by identifying whether the scoreboard or the reference model is associated with the agent of the plurality of agents that sent

the data within the agent table. In some embodiments, none of the plurality of agents are coupled to the scoreboard or the reference model. In some embodiments, each of the plurality of agents comprise a monitor configured to sample the transactions and the device responses on an interface between the agent and the device under test and to automatically forward the sampled transactions and device responses to the dispatcher. In some embodiments, each of the plurality of agents comprise a sequencer configured to produce a transaction for testing the device under test and a generic driver coupled with the sequencer, wherein the driver is configured to receive the transaction from the sequencer. In some embodiments, each of the plurality of agents comprise a transporter coupled with the monitor and the driver and coupled with the device under test via the interface, wherein the transporter is configured to perform a handshake protocol with the device under test over the interface based on a class of the interface. In some embodiments, the system further comprises one or more additional agents coupled with one or more of the plurality of agents, wherein the one or more of the plurality of agents are configured to send data received from the device under test to the one or more additional agents. In some embodiments, the handshake protocol comprises one of the group consisting of a one-way handshake protocol without destination flow control, a two-way handshake protocol and a one-way handshake protocol with destination flow control. In some embodiments, the handshake protocol of the transporter of at least one of the plurality of agents is different than the handshake protocol of the transporter of a different one of the plurality of agents. In some embodiments, the device under test is a virtual emulation of an electronic device.

A second aspect is directed to a testbench builder for generating a testbench for verification of a device under test, wherein the testbench builder is stored on a non-transitory computer readable medium and configured to perform a method. The method comprises reading an interface configuration file associated with the device under test and identifying a plurality of interfaces associated with the device under test defined in the interface configuration file and generating a verification environment including an agent for each of the interfaces in the interface configuration file, a scoreboard, a reference module and a dispatcher, wherein each of the agents are coupled with the device under test, one or more of the agents are configured to output one or more transactions to the device under test, and a different one or more of the agents are configured to input one or more device responses to the transactions from the device under test, and further wherein the dispatcher includes an agent table and is coupled with the reference model, the scoreboard and the agents, wherein the dispatcher is configured to input data comprising a copy of each of the one or more transactions and the one or more device responses to the transactions, identify whether each portion of the data is one of the copies of each of the one or more transactions or one of the device responses based on the agent table and route each portion of data identified as one of the copies of each of the one or more transactions to the reference model and each portion of data identified as one of the one or more device responses to the scoreboard. In some embodiments, the reference model is configured to mimic a desired function of the device under test by producing a reference response to each of a copy of the one or more transactions based on the desired function and the transaction. In some embodiments, the scoreboard is coupled with the reference module and configured to receive each of the reference responses from the reference model and for each transaction compare the associated reference response to the associated device response. In some embodiments, in the agent

table each of the plurality of agents is associated with either the reference model if the agent is one of the one or more of the plurality of agents that are configured to output the transactions to the device under test or the scoreboard if the agent is one of the different one or more of the plurality of agents that are configured to input the device responses from the device under test. In some embodiments, generating the verification environment comprises generating the associations within the agent table based on interface parameters of the interface associated with the agent as defined in the interface configuration file. In some embodiments, the dispatcher determines if the data inputted is a copy of the copies of the transactions or one of the device responses by identifying whether the scoreboard or the reference model is associated with the agent of the plurality of agents that sent the data within the agent table. In some embodiments, none of the plurality of agents are coupled to the scoreboard or the reference model. In some embodiments, each of the plurality of agents comprise a monitor configured to sample the transactions and the device responses on an interface between the agent and the device under test and to automatically forward the sampled transactions and device responses to the dispatcher. In some embodiments, each of the plurality of agents comprise a sequencer configured to produce a transaction for testing the device under test and a generic driver coupled with the sequencer, wherein the driver is configured to receive the transaction from the sequencer. In some embodiments, each of the plurality of agents comprise a transporter coupled with the monitor and the driver and coupled with the device under test via the interface, wherein the transporter is configured to perform a handshake protocol with the device under test over the interface based on a class of the interface. In some embodiments, the builder further comprises one or more additional agents coupled with one or more of the plurality of agents, wherein the one or more of the plurality of agents are configured to send data received from the device under test to the one or more additional agents. In some embodiments, the handshake protocol comprises one of the group consisting of a one-way handshake protocol without destination flow control, a two-way handshake protocol and a one-way handshake protocol with destination flow control. In some embodiments, the handshake protocol of the transporter of at least one of the plurality of agents is different than the handshake protocol of the transporter of a different one of the plurality of agents. In some embodiments, the device under test is a virtual emulation of an electronic device.

A third aspect is directed to a method of testing a device under test within a verification environment of a testbench. The method comprises inputting data from a plurality of agents within the verification environment with a dispatcher, wherein the plurality of agents are coupled with the device under test, one or more of the plurality of agents are configured to output one or more transactions to the device under test, and a different one or more of the plurality of agents are configured to input one or more device responses to the transactions from the device under test, and further wherein the data comprises a copy of each of the one or more transactions and the one or more device responses to the transactions, identifying with the dispatcher whether each portion of the data is one of the copies of each of the one or more transactions or one of the device responses based on the agent table and routing, with the dispatcher, each portion of data identified as one of the copies of each of the one or more transactions to a reference model and each portion of data identified as one of the one or more device responses to a scoreboard. In some embodiments, the reference model is configured to mimic a desired function of the device under test by produc-

5

ing a reference response to each of a copy of the one or more transactions based on the desired function and the transaction. In some embodiments, the scoreboard is coupled with the reference module and configured to receive each of the reference responses from the reference model and for each transaction compare the associated reference response to the associated device response. In some embodiments, the dispatcher comprises an agent table and in the agent table each of the plurality of agents is associated with either the reference model if the agent is one of the one or more of the plurality of agents that are configured to output the transactions to the device under test or the scoreboard if the agent is one of the different one or more of the plurality of agents that are configured to input the device responses from the device under test. In some embodiments, the method further comprises identifying whether the scoreboard or the reference model is associated with the agent of the plurality of agents that sent the data within the agent table with the dispatcher in order to determine if the data inputted is a copy of the copies of the transactions or one of the device responses. In some embodiments, none of the plurality of agents are coupled to the scoreboard or the reference model. In some embodiments, each of the plurality of agents comprise a monitor configured to sample the transactions and the device responses on an interface between the agent and the device under test and to automatically forward the sampled transactions and device responses to the dispatcher. In some embodiments, each of the plurality of agents comprise a sequencer configured to produce a transaction for testing the device under test and a generic driver coupled with the sequencer, wherein the driver is configured to receive the transaction from the sequencer. In some embodiments, each of the plurality of agents comprise a transporter coupled with the monitor and the driver and coupled with the device under test via the interface, wherein the transporter is configured to perform a handshake protocol with the device under test over the interface based on a class of the interface. In some embodiments, at least one of the plurality of agents is configured to send the device responses received from the device under test to one or more additional agents within the verification environment. In some embodiments, the handshake protocol comprises one of the group consisting of a one-way handshake protocol without destination flow control, a two-way handshake protocol and a one-way handshake protocol with destination flow control. In some embodiments, the handshake protocol of the transporter of at least one of the plurality of agents is different than the handshake protocol of the transporter of a different one of the plurality of agents. In some embodiments, the device under test is a virtual emulation of an electronic device.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The foregoing will be apparent from the following more particular description of example embodiments of the invention, as illustrated in the accompanying drawings in which like reference characters refer to the same parts throughout the different views. The drawings are not necessarily to scale, emphasis instead being placed upon illustrating embodiments of the present invention.

FIG. 1 illustrates a testbench builder system according to some embodiments.

FIG. 2 illustrates a testbench according to some embodiments.

FIG. 3 illustrates a method of generating a test bench according to some embodiments.

6

FIG. 4 illustrates a block diagram of an exemplary testbench builder device configured to implement the testbench application according to some embodiments.

FIG. 5 illustrates an agent according to some embodiments.

FIG. 6 illustrates a method of testing a device under test within a verification environment of a testbench according to some embodiments.

FIG. 7A illustrates a first process including a two-way handshake protocol and transaction transmission according to some embodiments.

FIG. 7B illustrates a second process including a simple or one-way handshake protocol without flow control and transaction transmission according to some embodiments.

FIG. 7C illustrates a third process including a one-way handshake protocol with flow control, transaction transmission and start/end of data marking signals according to some embodiments.

FIG. 8 illustrates an agent according to some embodiments.

FIG. 9 illustrates a method of testing a device under test within a verification environment of a testbench according to some embodiments.

FIG. 10A illustrates a first process of monitoring a two-way handshake protocol and transaction transmission according to some embodiments.

FIG. 10B illustrates a second process of monitoring a simple or one-way handshake protocol without flow control and transaction transmission according to some embodiments.

FIG. 10C illustrates a third process of monitoring a one-way handshake protocol with flow control, transaction transmission and start/end of data marking signals according to some embodiments.

FIG. 11 illustrates a testbench according to some embodiments.

FIG. 12 illustrates a method of testing a device under test within a verification environment of a testbench according to some embodiments.

FIG. 13 illustrates a testbench with agents having coupled loopback ports according to some embodiments.

FIG. 14 illustrates a method of testing a device under test within a verification environment of a testbench according to some embodiments.

FIG. 15 illustrates a method of testing a device under test within a verification environment of a testbench according to some embodiments.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

In the following description, numerous details are set forth for purposes of explanation. However, one of ordinary skill in the art will realize that the invention can be practiced without the use of these specific details. Thus, the present invention is not intended to be limited to the embodiments shown but is to be accorded the widest scope consistent with the principles and features described herein.

Embodiments described herein are directed to a testbench for testing a device under test (DUT), wherein the testbench has a verification environment that is able to include one or more of a reference model, a scoreboard, a dispatcher and a customized agent for each interface that the DUT needs to receive input from and/or transmit output on. The testbench system is able to comprise agents with generic drivers, generic monitors, loopback ports and/or interface specific transporters which handle interface/DUT specific handshake protocols and/or the monitoring thereof. The testbench sys-

tem is able to be generated by a testbench builder configured to automatically generate a testbench for a device under test (DUT), wherein the generated testbench has a verification environment comprising one or more of a reference model, a scoreboard, a dispatcher and a customized agent for each interface that the DUT needs to receive input from and/or transmit output on. Specifically, the testbench builder is able to first automatically create generic agents including generic drivers, sequencers, loopback ports and/or generic monitors for each interface and then automatically customize the generic agents based on their corresponding interface such that the agents meet the requirements of the interface for the DUT. At the same time, the testbench builder is able to integrate all the customized agents into the verification environment, integrate the verification environment with register transfer level (RTL) ports, binding the outputs and/or inputs of the agents to other agents and/or RTL ports, and preparing a random sample test case for testing the DUT with the generated testbench. As a result, the testbench system provides the benefit of enabling the generic drivers and/or generic monitors to be generated independent of the interface characteristics such as handshake protocol. Additionally, the testbench builder is able to automatically create the entire testbench in minutes based on an interface file including the necessary interfaces and their characteristics for the DUT.

FIG. 1 illustrates a testbench builder system **100** according to some embodiments. As shown in FIG. 1, the system **100** comprises a testbench builder device **102** storing a testbench builder application **103** and coupled with one or more DUTs **104** via one or more networks **106**. Alternatively, the testbench builder application **103** is able to be stored on an external database that is accessed by the testbench builder device **102** via the networks **106**. In some embodiments, the networks **106** comprise a wireless network. Alternatively, the networks **106** are able to comprise a wired network or any combination of wired and wireless networks. In some embodiments, the DUT **104** is front end representation of the device implemented in RTL or other programming language. Alternatively, the DUT **104** is able to be a physical device, such as a prototype device. The DUT **104** is able to be stored on a memory of and/or incorporated into the testbench builder device such that the network **106** comprises an internal or local network of the device **102** such as the interfaces described herein. For example, the DUT **104** generated in RTL or another programming language is able to interact with the testbench **202** (see FIG. 2) generated by the testbench builder application **103** in UVM™ or other language via one or more interfaces **222** (see FIG. 2). Alternatively, in such embodiments the DUT **104** is able to be stored on the memory of one or more additional computing devices (e.g. a server, computer) such that the networks **106** comprise external networks coupling together the testbench builder device **102** and DUT **104** via the additional computing devices. To put it another way, the DUT **104** is able to comprise hardware, emulation software or combination thereof. For example, the DUT **104** is able to be a piece of code (e.g. RTL, gate level, System C models) which provides or emulates the functionality of a device that is desired to be tested. Although as shown in FIG. 1, only a single DUT **104** is coupled to the testbench builder device **102**, any number of DUTs **104** and/or devices **102** are contemplated.

FIG. 2 illustrates a testbench **202** according to some embodiments. As shown in FIG. 2, the testbench **202** includes a verification environment **204** comprising one or more agents **206**, one or more reference models **208** and one or more scoreboards **210** coupled with one or more DUTs **212** via one or more interfaces or virtual interfaces **222**. In some

embodiments, the verification environment **204** and the components therein are implemented in one or more verification methodologies (e.g. universal verification methodology (UVM™)). Although in FIG. 2 only two agents **206**, two interfaces **222** and one DUT **212** are shown, any number of agents **206**, interfaces **222** and DUTs **212** are contemplated. For example, in some embodiments the verification environment **204** comprises at least one agent **206** for each interface **222**, wherein the DUT **212** is able to comprise numerous associated interfaces **222**. Additionally, although described separately herein, in some embodiments one or more of the component of the verification environment **204** are able to be combined into a single component. For example, the one or more reference models **208** and the one or more scoreboards **210** are able to be combined into one or more single entities that perform the functions of both. Generally, each interface **222** (input or output) requires both control and data signals for communication. The data signals carry the information upon which the DUT **212** operates and the control signals define the significance of the data signals on that cycle. As also shown in FIG. 2, the agents **206** each comprise one or more sequencers **214**, one or more monitors **216** and one or more drivers **220**.

The monitors **216** are able to observe and/or sample pin level activity and converts its observations (e.g. observed output data signals from the agents **206** and/or DUT **212**) to a format that is used within the verification environment **204** by the agents **206**, reference model **208** and/or scoreboard **210**. The drivers **220** are able to convert transactions received from the sequencers **214** for the device under test **212** from a format that is used within the verification environment **204** by the agents **206**, reference model **208** and/or scoreboard **210** to a pin level configuration of the device under test **212**. Alternatively, the agents **206** and/or testbench **202** are able to comprise more or less components such that one or more of the components are able to be replicated, omitted and/or new components are able to be added. For example, the testbench **202** is able to comprise a database of one or more test cases each including one or more stimulus patterns based on the DUT **212** for testing the DUT **212** with the testbench **202**. In some embodiments, the testbench **202** and/or environment **204** is able to be coupled/integrated with the DUT **212** on a register transfer level. Alternatively, the coupling/integration of the testbench **202** and the DUT **212** is able to be with other communication levels.

In operation, the verification environment **204** provides the platform or operating system in which the agents **206**, reference model **208** and scoreboard **210** are able to interoperate. Specifically, based on a test case being implemented by the testbench **202** and/or environment **204**, the driver **220** of one or more of the agents **206** (e.g. agent **1**) accepts data stimulus or testing data generated by the sequencer **214** in the form of a transaction and drives the data onto an interface **222a** with which the agent **206** is associated (using a protocol for that DUT **212** and/or interface **222a**). In other words, the sequencer **214** is able to coordinate the execution of stimulus in the form of sequences and sequence items from a parent sequence (e.g. test case), ultimately feeding the driver **220** with transactions. Additionally, the monitor **216** of the one or more of the agents **206** sends a copy of the data stimulus to the reference model **208** of the one or more agents **206**. This reference model **208** is able to comprise one or more transfer functions configured such that it mimics an idealized operation of the DUT **212** for generated data stimulus. In other words, the reference model **208** is able to be configured to represent the behavior of a device under test **212** and/or a particular feature or features of the device under test **212** (e.g. common feature patterns) and in that way transform received

transactions into “ideal” output transactions. As a result, upon receiving the data stimulus the reference model **208** is able to send modified reference data to the scoreboard **210**, wherein the modified reference data represents the ideal output data for an ideal DUT **212** based on the inputted data stimulus. The scoreboard **210** is able to be configured to compare actual and expected values of the data received from the reference module **208** and the data received from the DUT **212** (e.g. via the monitor **216** of an agent **206**). In some embodiments, the scoreboard **210** is also able to record statistical information about the data received and/or create reports based on that information.

At the same time, the DUT **212** receives the data stimulus from the driver **220** via the associated interface **222a**, performs an operation on the data and outputs the resulting DUT data on an appropriate output interface **222b**. As a result, this outputted DUT data is able to be sampled by the monitor **216** of the agent **206** associated with the output interface **222b** and sent to the scoreboard **210**. The scoreboard **210** is then able to compare the DUT data to the reference data in order to determine any differences in behavior of the idealized DUT represented by the reference model **208** and the DUT **212** that is currently being tested. Specifically, based on these differences the scoreboard **210** is able to determine whether the DUT **212** is behaving within a set of operational limits for the test case and/or any operational errors that are indicated by the DUT data not matching the reference data. As a result, the testbench **202** is able to emulate a real world environment (e.g. test case) for the DUT **212** and ensure that the operation of the DUT **212** within that environment meets the expected operational standards. Additionally, it should be noted that although the operation described only included a DUT input and response, multiple inputs and/or responses (involving multiple agents **206** and/or interfaces **222**) in sequence and/or in parallel are possible. For example, the reference model **208** is able to receive duplicates of all transactions or stimulus transmitted from one or a plurality of agents **206** to the DUT **212** and produce expected or ideal output transactions for all such received duplicates. Similarly, the scoreboard **210** is able to receive all expected or ideal output transactions from the reference module **208** as well as all transactions or stimulus transmitted from the DUT **212** to one or a plurality of the agents **206** such that all the corresponding transactions can be compared.

FIG. **11** illustrates an alternate embodiment of the testbench **202** according to some embodiments. The testbench **202** of FIG. **11** is able to be substantially similar to the testbench **202** of FIG. **2** except for the differences described herein. In particular, as shown in FIG. **11** instead of being coupled with either the reference model **208** or the scoreboard **210** directly as in FIG. **2**, the agents **206** (e.g. via the monitors **216**) are each coupled to the dispatcher **224** which is coupled to the reference model **208** and the scoreboard **210**. This dispatcher **224** is able to comprise an agent table or database **226** that associates the agents **206** and/or their associated interfaces **222a**, **222b** (e.g. via the address of the ports of the dispatcher **224** that coupled to the agents **206**) with the reference model **208** or the scoreboard **210**. If the agent/interfaces receives device responses (e.g. modified transactions) from the DUT **212** (e.g. interface **222b**), it is an “input” agent/interface that is associated with the scoreboard **210**. Otherwise, if the agent/interface transmits transactions to the DUT **212** (e.g. interface **222a**), it is an “output” agent/interface that is associated with the reference model **208**. In other words, the associations in the agent table **224** represents which of the reference model **208** or the scoreboard **210** the agents **206** would be coupled directly to if the dispatcher **224** were omit-

ted like in FIG. **2**. In some embodiments, the agent table **226** comprises associations of the addresses of the ports that receive the data from the agents **206** with the address of the port coupled to the scoreboard **210** or the address of the port coupled to the reference model **208**. Additionally, in some embodiments the agent table **226** is generated by the builder application based on the interface parameters and the binding of the ports within the verification environment.

In operation, unlike FIG. **2**, the monitor **216** of the one or more of the agents **206** sends the copy of the data stimulus to the dispatcher **224** (not directly to the reference model **208**), which then identifies the data as a transaction and routes the data stimulus to the reference model **208** based on the agent database **226**. Also unlike FIG. **2**, the outputted DUT data (e.g. device response) is sampled by the monitor **216** of the agent **206** and sent to the dispatcher **224** (not directly to the scoreboard **210**), which then identifies the data as a device response and routes the response to the reference model **208** based on the agent database **226**. Alternatively, the identification and/or routing of the data is able to be performed based on the packet data itself (e.g. header) without the agent table **224**. In either case, the dispatcher **224** is able to act as a central routing hub thereby simplifying the connectivity required within the verification environment **204**. Similar to FIG. **2**, upon receiving the data stimulus the reference model **208** is able to send modified reference data to the scoreboard **210**, wherein the modified reference data represents the ideal output data for an ideal DUT **212** based on the inputted data stimulus. Then the scoreboard **210** is able to compare actual and expected values of the data received from the reference module **208** and the data received from the DUT **212** (e.g. via the dispatcher **224**).

FIG. **12** illustrates a method of testing a device under test within a verification environment of a testbench according to some embodiments. Although described separately, one or more of the steps of the methods of FIGS. **6**, **9**, **12**, **14** and/or **15** are able to be combined into a single method. For example, a method of operation of the testbench **202** or verification environment **204** as a whole is able to comprise a combination of one or more of the steps of all of the methods of FIGS. **6**, **9**, **12**, **14** and **15** depending on the types of components (e.g. agents, transporters, dispatchers, loopback ports) within the environment **204**. As shown in FIG. **12**, the dispatcher **224** inputs data from the monitors **216** of a plurality of agents **206** within the verification environment **204** at the step **1202**. The data input by the dispatcher **224** is able to be data transmitted on the interface **222** associated with each of the agents **206** and sampled by the monitor **216** of that agent **206**, wherein after sampling, the monitor **216** forwards the data to the dispatcher **224**. In some embodiments, the monitors **216** are able to be configured to automatically forward the sampled data (or a copy thereof) to a mailbox or queue of the dispatcher **224**. Further, as described in FIGS. **6** and **9** below, the sampling by the monitors **216** and driving of transactions by the drivers **220** is able to be controlled or operate in concert with the associated transporters **506/806** (see FIGS. **5** and **8**). As a result, the data is able to comprise transactions transmitted by a driver/transporter of an agent **206** onto an interface **222** to the DUT **212** or responses to a transaction generated and transmitted by the DUT **212** to an agent **206** via an interface **222**.

The dispatcher **224** identifies whether each portion of the data is a transaction output by a driver/transporter (or a copy thereof) or a device response to a transaction output by the DUT **212** (or a copy thereof) based on the agent table **226** at the step **1204**. In particular, the dispatcher **224** is able to look up the agent **206** or interface **222** that is the source/destination

11

of the data (e.g. based on the port address of the port that received the data) within the agent table 226 and check whether the agent 206 or interface 222 is associated in the table with the scoreboard 210 or the reference model 208. For example, if the agent/interface is associated with the scoreboard 210, it is an “input” agent/interface that receives responses from the DUT 212 and if the agent/interface is associated with the reference model 208, it is an “output” agent/interface that transmits transactions to the DUT 212. The dispatcher 224 routes each portion of data identified as a transaction output by a driver/transporter to a reference model 208 within the verification environment and each portion of data identified as a device response to a transaction output by the DUT 212 to a scoreboard 210 within the verification environment 204 at the step 1206. In other words, based on whether the data is “input” or “output” data in the agent table 226 the dispatcher 224 is able to transmit the data to the port coupled with the scoreboard 210 or the reference model 208. As a result, the method provides the benefit of having a central dispatcher 224 that reduces the connection complexity of the verification environment 204. In particular, the dispatcher 224 provides a single component that all the agents 206 are able to be coupled and automatically send data to instead of each agent needing a separate connection established to either the reference model 208 or a single line to the scoreboard 210. As a result, the dispatcher 224 is able to efficiently route the data either on a single connection to the reference model 208 or a single line to the scoreboard 210.

FIG. 13 illustrates an alternative embodiment of the testbench 202 according to some embodiments. The testbench 202 of FIG. 13 is able to be substantially similar to the testbench 202 of FIGS. 2 and/or 11 except for the differences described herein. In particular, as shown in FIG. 13 one or more of the agents 206 within the verification environment 204 are able to comprise and/or be coupled with each other via one or more loopback ports 228. As a result, instead of needing a top level multilayer or virtual sequencer in order to solve testing data (e.g. transactions, device responses) dependency issues amongst the agents 206, the agents 206 are able to use the loopback ports 228 to send dependency data directly and solve the dependency issues “peer-to-peer.” In some embodiments, all of the agents 206 within the environment 204 comprise one or more loopback ports 228. Alternatively, less than all of the agents 206 comprise loopback ports 206. The loopback ports 228 are able to be substantially similar to the other ports within the verification environment 204 except that they are dedicated to coupling two or more agents 206 together within the environment 204.

In some embodiments, some or all of the agents 206 comprise one or more input loopback ports for inputting data (e.g. dependency data) and one or more output loopback ports for outputting data (e.g. dependency data). Alternatively, some or all of the agents 206 are able to comprise only one or more input or only one or more output loopback ports 228. As shown in FIG. 13, a single agent (agent 1) is coupled to a single agent (agent 2) via the loopback ports 228. Alternatively, any of the agents 206 are able to be coupled with zero, one or a plurality of the other agents 206 within the environment 204 wherein the loopback port connections are able to be all outputting data from the agent to the other agents, all inputting data to the agent from the other agents or a combination of input and output connections. Further, although as shown in FIG. 13 the loopback ports of the agents 1 and 2 are coupled via a single connection, a plurality of connections are able to be made between any two agents 206. For example, a first connection is able to send dependency data from agent 1

12

to agent 2, whereas a second connection is able to send dependency data from agent 2 to agent 1.

This dependency data is able to be data that solves or reflects a dependent or conditional relationship between testing data (e.g. transactions, device responses) sent between the agents 206 and the DUT 212. Two sets or increments of testing data have a dependency relationship if the processing of one of the sets of testing data (with respect to testing the DUT 212) requires data of or related to the other set of testing data such that the dependent testing data cannot be implemented/processed (by the agent 206 and/or DUT 212) until the depended upon testing data has been implemented/processed such that the required dependency data can be determined from the depended upon testing data. An example of such a pair of dependent testing data is a query response pair, wherein the response testing data is dependent on what the query data (i.e. what the query is asking). The dependencies can be based on some or all of the content of the depended upon testing data (e.g. what is the query, end of packet, start of packet, source identifier, destination identifier), the processing of the depended upon testing data (e.g. when was it sent/synchronization data, has it been received at the destination, did it contain an error), data derived from the content of the depended upon data (e.g. what is the size of the depended upon data) and/or other testing data characteristics that are able to be depended upon. In other words, testing data is able to be dependent on other testing data in any case where the processing or manipulation of a first set of testing data requires some or all of the processing or manipulation a second set of testing data.

Similar to the permutations of the connections between the agents 206 via the loopback ports 228, the dependencies between testing data is able to be one to one, one to a plurality and/or mutual dependencies (e.g. multiple dependencies between the same two sets of testing data), wherein the “direction” of the dependencies is able to be all one direction or a combination of directions (e.g. a, b and c dependent on d or d dependent on a, b and c; a and b dependent on d and d dependent on c; a dependent on b and b dependent on a). The dependency data itself that is sent between the agents 206 via the loopback ports 228 is able to comprise the transactions, the device responses and/or portions or derivations thereof. For example, the dependency data for a query/response dependency between two sets of testing data is able to be the query itself, which is sent to the second agent for generating a response to the query. Alternatively, the dependency data for two conditional transactions is able to be a portion of one of the transactions (e.g. start of packet, end of packet, packet identifier, source port, destination port, packet size, or other packet field values) that is used to parse or otherwise process the second of the transactions. Alternatively, the dependency data for two conditional transactions is able to be an indication that one of the transactions has been sent to or received by the DUT 212 such that the DUT 212 is ready to receive the second of the transactions. Essentially, the dependency data is able to be any data that solves the unknown or variable in the second of the transactions that created/caused the dependency between the testing data.

In operation, if an agent 206 is coupled with one or more other agents 206 via the loopback ports 228 for outputting dependency data to the other agents 206, the monitor 216 is able to be configured to automatically output sampled testing data (e.g. device response from the DUT 212) to the other agents 206 via the output loopback port 228 coupled to the other agents 206. Alternatively or in addition, if an agent 206 is coupled with one or more other agents 206 via the loopback ports 228 for outputting dependency data to the other agents

13

206, the sequencer 214 is able to be configured to automatically output generated testing data (e.g. a transaction for the DUT 212) to the other agents 206 via the output loopback port 228 coupled to the other agents 206. Alternatively or in addition, if an agent 206 is coupled with one or more other agents 206 via the loopback ports 228 for inputting dependency data to from other agents 206, the sequencer 214 is able to be configured to automatically input or poll the loopback ports 228 for the dependency data from the loopback ports 228 of the other agents 206 and to adjust or generate testing data to be output to the DUT 212 (via the driver 220) based on the input dependency data.

In some embodiments, the inputting or outputting of dependency data by the monitor 216 and/or sequencer 214 is able to occur automatically every cycle. Alternatively, it is able to occur automatically or manually every cycle, periodic cycles, based on a trigger event, and/or other cycle schedules. In embodiments wherein the agent 206 comprises a transporter 506/806 as shown in FIGS. 5 and 8, the transporter 506/806 is able to control the outputting and/or inputting of the dependency data in the same manner in which it controls the outputting and/or inputting of the transaction or device response data as described herein. Alternatively, even if a transporter 506/806 is present in the agent 206, the monitor 216 and/or sequencer 214 are able to operate independently with respect to the dependency data and loopback ports 228 as described above. In some embodiments, the dependency data is able to be broadcast or multicast on multiple output loopback ports 228 simultaneously. Alternatively, the dependency data is able to be sent out on a plurality of loopback ports 228 sequentially (e.g. round robin), via a priority scheme and/or upon request.

FIG. 14 illustrates a method of testing a device under test within a verification environment of a testbench according to some embodiments. Although described separately, one or more of the steps of the methods of FIGS. 6, 9, 12, 14 and/or 15 are able to be combined into a single method. For example, a method of operation of the testbench 202 or verification environment 204 as a whole is able to comprise a combination of one or more of the steps of all of the methods of FIGS. 6, 9, 12, 14 and 15 depending on the types of components (e.g. agents, transporters, dispatchers, loopback ports) within the environment 204. As shown in FIG. 14, a first agent 206 (via the monitor 216 and/or sequencer 214) sends dependency data from an output port of its loopback ports 228 to an input port of loopback ports 228 of one or more second agents 206 within the verification environment 204 at the step 1402. In some embodiments, the sending of the dependency data comprises (simultaneously or otherwise) sending the data to a plurality of input loopback ports 228 of a plurality of agents 206. In some embodiments, the dependency data is related to testing data sampled by the monitor 216 (e.g. a device response/query) from the interface 222 between the first agent 206 and the DUT 212. For example, in some embodiments the method further comprises the monitor 216 of the first agent 206 inputting testing data (e.g. a sampled device response) from the DUT 212 such that the dependency data of step 1402 is based on the input testing data. Indeed, in some embodiments the dependency data is able to match the testing data sampled by the monitor 216. Additionally, in some embodiments this exemplary operation of the monitor 216 is able to be controlled by a transporter 806 of the first agent 206 as described in the method of FIG. 9.

Alternatively, the dependency data is able to be related testing data generated by the sequencer 214 (e.g. a new transaction) and sent to the DUT 212 via the interface 222 between the first agent 206 and the DUT 212. For example, in some

14

embodiments the method further comprises the sequencer 214 of the first agent 206 generating new testing data (e.g. the new transaction) for testing the DUT 212 and the driver 220 of the first agent 206 receives the testing data from the sequencer 214 and sends it to the DUT 212 such that the dependency data of step 1402 is based on the new testing data. Further, this new testing data is able to be independent testing data or testing data that was dependent on a different set of testing data from a different agent 206. Moreover, in some embodiments this exemplary operation of the sequencer 214 and driver 220 is able to be controlled by a transporter 506 of the first agent 206 as described in the method of FIG. 6. The sequencer 214 of the second agent(s) 206 polls the input port 228 for the dependency data at the step 1404. The sequencer 214 of the second agent(s) 206 produce or generate dependent testing data (e.g. a new transaction) for testing the DUT 212 based on the dependency data at the step 1406. The driver 220 of the second agent(s) 206 receive the dependent testing data from the sequencer 214 and send the dependent testing data to the DUT 212 at the step 1408. In particular, in some embodiments a sequence that creates/builds transactions is able to be registered in the sequencer 214 such that the sequencer 214 is able to execute the sequence and thereby generate the transactions. In such embodiments, the polling of the dependency data and/or producing of dependent testing data is able to be in the sequence registered in the sequencer 214.

In some embodiments, the method further comprises the first agent 206 receiving dependency data from one or more other agents 206 in the same manner that the second agent(s) 206 received such data from the first agent 206. Indeed, the dependency data sent by the first agent 206 is able to be based on dependency data received by the first agent 206 from a different agent 206 via the loopback ports 228. Further, in some embodiments, one or more of the second agent(s) 206 send dependency data to the first agent 206 either before or after the first agent 206 sends its dependency data. Accordingly, the method provides the advantage of enabling dependency issues that arise during the testing of the DUT 212 to be solved on a peer to peer level between the agents 206 via the loopback ports 228 and their connections removing the need for a top level virtual or multi-layer sequencer to be generated in the virtual environment 204.

In some embodiments, the monitor 216 of one or more of the agents 206 is configured to determine a timestamp value for testing data (e.g. transactions) sampled by the monitor 216, wherein the timestamp value indicates the time when the testing data was sampled from the interface 222 by the monitor. As a result, this timestamp value for the testing data is able to be referenced by any of the agents 206 and/or other components (e.g. scoreboard, reference module, dispatcher) of the verification environment 204 in order to determine the latency of the testing data in reaching the agents 206 and/or components. Specifically, in such embodiments the agents 206 and/or other components are able to read the timestamp value and compare it to the current time in order to determine the amount of time (e.g. latency value) that elapsed between when the testing data was sampled and when it reached the agents 206 and/or other components (i.e. the verification environment latency for the testing data). The agents 206 and/or other components are then able to adjust the timing of their actions based on this latency value. These actions are able to comprise the generation and/or driving of testing data to the DUT 212, the initiation of handshake protocol with the DUT 212, the generation and/or transmission of dependency data to one or more different agents 206 via loopback ports

15

228, and/or any other operations performed by the agents 206 and/or the other components of the verification environment 204.

For example, if a first agent 206 is configured to receive a query from the DUT 212 and a second agent is configured to send a response to the DUT 212 for the query after a predetermined time period (e.g. 15-25 clock cycles), the monitor 216 of the first agent is able to determine the timestamp value of the query. Then, when the second agent receives the query it is able to determine the latency value of the query based on the timestamp value and the time it was received. Finally, the second agent 206 is able to determine how much more time must pass (if any) before the predetermined time period has elapsed by subtracting the latency value from the predetermined time period such that the second agent 206 is able to ensure that the response to the query is sent to the DUT 212 at a time when or after the predetermined time period has elapsed. As a result, the timestamps provide the advantage of enabling each agent 206 and/or other component within the verification environment 204 to determine the latency of any testing data that they receive and adjust for that latency if necessary. In particular, this able to be done without the use of a separate component (e.g. a virtual or multilayer sequencer) that must separately control the agents 206 and/or other components in order to adjust for the latency issues.

In some embodiments, the sequencer 214 of one or more of the agents 216 is configured to read the timestamp value, determine the latency value based on the current time and adjust operation based on the latency value. Alternatively, the driver 220, monitor 216, transporter 506 (see FIG. 5), or other element of the agents 206 is able to read the timestamp value, determine the latency value based on the current time and adjust operation based on the latency value. In some embodiments, the timestamp value is added to a header of the testing data such that subsequent agents 206 and/or the DUT 212 are able to read the header of the testing data in order to determine the timestamp value. Alternatively or in addition, the timestamp value is able to be stored and/or transmitted separately from the testing data to which it relates. For example, the timestamp value is able to be added to the dependency data related to the testing data such that the value is able to be accessed from the dependency data.

FIG. 15 illustrates a method of testing a device under test within a verification environment of a testbench according to some embodiments. Although described separately, one or more of the steps of the methods of FIGS. 6, 9, 12, 14 and/or 15 are able to be combined into a single method. For example, a method of operation of the testbench 202 or verification environment 204 as a whole is able to comprise a combination of one or more of the steps of all of the methods of FIGS. 6, 9, 12, 14 and 15 depending on the types of components (e.g. agents, transporters, dispatchers, loopback ports) within the environment 204. As shown in FIG. 15, a first agent 206 performs one of outputting the testing data to or inputting the testing data from the DUT 212 via the interface 222 coupling the first agent 206 to the DUT 212 at the step 1502. A monitor 216 of the first agent 206 samples testing data on the interface 222 between the DUT 212 and a first agent 206 at the step 1504. The monitor 216 generates a timestamp for the testing data at the step 1506. Specifically, the timestamp is able to indicate a sampled time at which the testing data was sampled by the monitor 216 and thus first becomes active within the verification environment 204.

In some embodiments, the method further comprises receiving sequencer data associated with the testing data with a sequencer 214 of a second agent 206 coupled to the first agent 206 (e.g. via loopback ports 228) and comparing the

16

sampled time associated with the testing data with a current time to determine a latency of the received sequencer data. The comparison is able to be performed by the sequencer 214 or any other component of the second agent 206. In some embodiments, the method further comprises generating new testing data with the sequencer 214 based on the received sequencer data and adjusting when a driver 220 of the second agent 206 drives the new testing data to the DUT 212 with the sequencer 214 based on the latency of the received sequencer data. In particular, the adjusting of when the driver 220 drives the new testing data to the DUT 212 is able to comprise driving the new testing data when a time period equal to a predetermined delay value minus the latency value has elapsed. For example, if the sequencer 214 and/or driver 220 are configured to drive testing data to the DUT 212 after a predetermined delay period from when the DUT 212 transmitted testing data (e.g. device response/query) to the verification environment 204, the sequencer 214 and/or driver 220 are able to take in consideration the latency of the testing data reaching the sequencer 214 and/or driver 220 when calculating the predetermined delay period. In some embodiments, the delay period is between 15 and 25 clock cycles. Alternatively, the delay period is able to be less than 15 clock cycles or greater than 25 clock cycles. Also, in some embodiments the testing data of the second agent 206 is dependent on the testing data of the first agent 206 such that the second agent 206 is unable to output the dependent testing data without first receiving dependency data about the depended upon testing data of the first agent 206. Indeed, in such embodiments the dependency data is the same as the sequencer data. For example, the dependency data is able to be a transaction that was received by the sequencer 214 as the sequencer data.

Testbench Agent

FIG. 5 illustrates an agent 500 according to some embodiments. In particular, the agent 500 is able to be substantially similar to the agent 206 except for the differences described herein. Further, although discussed separately, the agent 500 is able to replace and/or supplement the agents in all of the embodiments described herein. Additionally, although shown separately in FIG. 5, the transporter 506 is able to be combined within or a part of the driver 504. As shown in FIG. 5, the agent 500 comprises a sequencer 502, a driver 504, a transporter 506 and a monitor 508, wherein the sequencer 502 and transporter 506 are coupled with the driver 504, and the driver 504 and transporter 506 are coupled with the DUT 212 via an interface 222. The transporter 506 is able to be configured to handle all handshaking protocol necessary for communicating with the DUT 212 over the interface 222 such that the driver 504 is able to be generic in that it is not configured based on the handshake protocol of the interface 222. In particular, by removing the handshaking protocol responsibilities from the driver 504 with the transporter 506, the driver 504 is able to be automatically generated for an interface 222 without any knowledge of the protocols of the interface 222.

In some embodiments, the agent 500, driver 504 and/or transporter 506 are configured to transmit all or a portion of the transaction to the DUT 212 via the interface 222 every clock cycle. Similarly, in some embodiments the transporter 506 is configured to perform the handshaking protocol with the interface 222 and/or DUT 212 every clock cycle before permitting or preventing transmission of the transaction to the DUT 212. Alternatively, the transmission of the transaction and/or performance of the handshaking is able to be performed on a transaction basis, operation basis, periodically, on demand, or according to other types of schedules. In some

17

embodiments, the driver **504** is able to operate without the transporter **506** using a default handshake protocol or no handshake protocol.

FIG. 6 illustrates a method of testing a device under test **212** within a verification environment **204** of a testbench **202** according to some embodiments. Although described separately, one or more of the steps of the methods of FIGS. 6, 9, 12, 14 and/or 15 are able to be combined into a single method. As shown in FIG. 6, a sequencer **502** of an agent **500** within the verification environment **204** produces a transaction for testing the DUT **212** at the step **602**. The generic driver **504** of the agent **500** retrieves and/or receives the transaction from the sequencer **502** at the step **604**. In some embodiments, a new transaction is produced and/or received each clock cycle. Alternatively, one or more of the transactions are able to be multi-cycle such that data related to a single transaction is transmitted and/or received over multiple cycles. The driver **504** and/or the transporter **506** of the agent **500** performs a handshake with the DUT **212** (via the interface **222**) according to a handshake protocol at the step **606**. In other words, the transporter **506** is able to both drive control signals onto and/or sample control signals from an interface **222** in order to effectuate a handshake protocol for that interface **222** and/or DUT **212**. In some embodiments, the handshake protocol used is based on interface **222** and/or DUT **212**. In some embodiments, the handshake protocol is indicated by the transporter class for the interface **222** within the interface configuration file. Based on the outcome of the handshake protocol, the driver **504** and/or the transporter **506** determines if the interface **222** and/or DUT **212** is ready to receive data at the step **608**. The driver **504** communicates with the transporter **506** to forward/load the transaction on the interface **222** if the outcome of the handshake protocol indicates the interface **222** and/or DUT **212** is ready to receive the transaction at the step **610**. In some embodiments, the monitor of the agent **500** also forwards the transaction to the reference model **208** (either directly or via a dispatcher **224**) as described above. In some embodiments, the communication is a control command received by the driver **504** from the transporter **506** indicating the outcome of the handshake protocol. In some embodiments, the driver **504** gives the transaction to the transporter **506** based on the outcome such that the transporter **506** forwards and/or loads the transaction on the interface **222**. Alternatively, the driver **504** is able to forward and/or load the transaction on the interface **222** based on the outcome of the handshake protocol. As a result, the method provides the benefit of enabling the driver **504** to remain generic while still customizing the agent **500** for the interface **222** and/or DUT **212** by using the transporter **506** to control the handshake protocol with the interface **222** and/or DUT **212**.

In some embodiments, the method further comprises sending data received from the DUT **212** to the one or more additional agents coupled to the agent **500** within the environment **204**. In such embodiments, the handshake protocol used by the transporter **506** is able to be different than a handshake protocol of a transporter of the one or more additional agents. As described above, in some embodiments the handshake protocol is one of three handshake protocols consisting of a one-way handshake protocol without destination flow control, a two-way handshake protocol and a one-way handshake protocol with destination flow control. Alternatively, any number and/or combination of different or the same handshake protocols are able to be used. In some embodiments, steps **604-610** are performed each clock cycle. Alternatively, if the transaction is a multi-cycle transaction,

18

step **604** is able to be omitted and only steps **606-610** are performed each subsequent clock cycle until the multi-cycle transaction is complete.

FIGS. 7A-7C illustrate three exemplary handshake protocol and transaction transfer processes according to some embodiments. FIG. 7A illustrates a first process including a two-way handshake protocol **702** and transaction transmission **704** according to some embodiments. In particular, the two-way handshake protocol **702** comprises sending a first signal "source ready" from the agent **500** to the DUT **212** (via an interface) and sending a second signal "destination ready" from the DUT **212** to the agent **500**. According to the handshake protocol **702**, the agent **500** indicates that it is ready to send data (and/or that all control and data signals are valid) when it makes "source ready" high and the DUT **212** indicates that it is ready to accept data when "destination ready" is high. As a result, when both "source ready" and "destination ready" are both high, the outcome of the protocol indicates that transaction transmission(s) **704** should be transmitted and when either "source ready" or "destination ready" are low, the outcome of the protocol indicates that transaction transmission(s) **704** should not be transmitted or cease from being transmitted from the agent **500** to the DUT **212**. This two-way handshake protocol enables either party (agent **500** or DUT **212**) to stop transaction transmissions **704** unilaterally and restricts the beginning of transaction transmissions **704** to when both parties indicate that they are ready. As shown in FIG. 7A, the transaction transmission **704** comprises two datasets (data0 and data1) having a payloads of 20:0 and 11:0. Alternatively, the transaction transmission **704** is able to comprise more or less datasets and/or transmission streams each having more or less payload and/or quantity of data. In some embodiments, the driver **504** transmits the transaction transmission **704** to the DUT **212** based on the outcome of the handshake protocol **702** (as executed by the transporter **506**). Alternatively, the transporter **506** is able to retrieve the transaction from the driver **504** and transmit the transaction transmission **704** to the DUT **212** based on the outcome of the handshake protocol **702**.

FIG. 7B illustrates a second process including a simple or one-way handshake protocol without flow control **702** and transaction transmission **704** according to some embodiments. In particular, the one-way handshake protocol without flow control **702** comprises sending a first signal "valid" from the agent **500** to the DUT **212** (via an interface). According to the handshake protocol **702**, the agent **500** indicates that it is ready to send data when it makes "valid" high (and/or that all control and data signals are valid), but unlike the first process, the agent **500** does not wait for, nor does the DUT **212** send, a signal that indicates that the DUT **212** is ready to accept data. As a result, when "valid" is high, the outcome of the protocol indicates that transaction transmission(s) **704** should be transmitted and when "valid" is low, the outcome of the protocol indicates that transaction transmission(s) **704** should not be transmitted or cease from being transmitted from the agent **500** to the DUT **212**. This one-way handshake protocol puts full control in the agent **500** to stop or start transaction transmissions **704** unilaterally, wherein the DUT **212** has no flow control and rather is simply notified by the agent **500** when transaction transmission **704** is incoming. As shown in FIG. 7B, like FIG. 7A, the transaction transmission **704** comprises two datasets (data0 and data1) having payloads of 20:0 and 11:0. However, the transaction transmission **704** is able to comprise more or less datasets and/or transmission streams each having more or less payload and/or quantity of data. In some embodiments, the driver **504** transmits the transaction transmission **704** to the DUT **212** based on the outcome of the

19

handshake protocol 702 (as executed by the transporter 506). Alternatively, the transporter 506 is able to retrieve the transaction from the driver 504 and transmit the transaction transmission 704 to the DUT 212 based on the outcome of the handshake protocol 702.

FIG. 7C illustrates a third process including a one-way handshake protocol with flow control 702, transaction transmission 704 and start/end of data marking signals 706 according to some embodiments. In particular, the one-way handshake protocol with flow control 702 comprises sending a first signal “valid” from the agent 500 to the DUT 212 (via an interface). According to the handshake protocol with flow control 702, the agent 500 indicates that it is ready to send data (and/or that all control and data signals are valid) when it makes “valid” high and, like the second process, the agent 500 does not wait for, nor does the DUT 212 send, a signal that indicates that the DUT 212 is ready to accept data. However, unlike the second process, the one-way handshake protocol with flow control 702 enables the DUT 212 to send the signal “flow control” to indicate when the DUT 212 can no longer accept more data. As a result, when “valid” is high and “flow control” is low, the outcome of the protocol indicates that transaction transmission(s) 704 should be transmitted and when “valid” is low or “flow control” is high, the outcome of the protocol indicates that transaction transmission(s) 704 should not be transmitted or cease from being transmitted from the agent 500 to the DUT 212. This one-way handshake protocol with flow control puts control in the agent 500 over the start of transaction transmissions 704 unilaterally, but gives the DUT 212 the ability to stop the transaction transmissions 704 using the flow control signal “flow control”. For multi-cycle transactions, in some embodiments the first signal portion of the third process is only performed in the first cycle such that subsequent cycles of the multi-cycle transaction comprise repeating the checking for the “flow control” going high in order to indicate that any further data is invalid. Alternatively, even for multi-cycle transactions the first signal portion is able to be repeated along with the “flow control” checking each cycle of the transaction until the multi-cycle transaction is complete.

Further, as shown in FIG. 7C, the third process is able to comprise start/end of data marking signals 706. These signals 706 are able to comprise a start of packet (sop) signal and an end of packet (eop) signal that indicate the first data cycle and the last data cycle of the packet (of the transaction transmission 704), respectively. As a result, the start/end of data marking signals 706 are able to be used with larger packets and/or transaction transmissions 704 in order to indicate to the DUT 212 when each packet (or transaction 704) starts and/or stops. For example, if the agent 500 is sending data at the rate of 32 bits per clock cycle, but the packets and/or chunks are greater than 32 bits of data (e.g. 64 or 128 bytes/chunks), the start/end of data marking signals 706 are able to be used to mark the end and the beginning of the packets and/or chunks. Alternatively, the start/end of data marking signals 706 are able to be omitted from the third process. Additionally, it should be noted that the start/end of data marking signals 706 are able to be added to the first, second and/or any other handshake processes described herein as desired to deal with longer transaction transmissions 704. Additionally, as shown in FIG. 7C, the transaction transmission 704 comprises one dataset (data) having a payload of 31:0. However, similar to above, the transaction transmission 704 is able to comprise more or less datasets and/or transmission streams each having more or less payload and/or quantity of data. In some embodiments, the driver 504 transmits the transaction transmission 704 to the DUT 212 based on the outcome of the handshake protocol

20

702 (as executed by the transporter 506). Alternatively, the transporter 506 is able to retrieve the transaction from the driver 504 and transmit the transaction transmission 704 to the DUT 212 based on the outcome of the handshake protocol 702. Additionally, although FIGS. 7A-7C illustrate the above described three handshake protocol types, it is understood that other types of handshake protocols are able to be used such a three-way handshakes with or without flow control or data marking and other types of handshake protocols as known in the art.

FIG. 8 illustrates an agent 800 according to some embodiments. In particular, the agent 800 is able to be substantially similar to the agents 206 and/or 500 except for the differences described herein. Further, although discussed separately, the agent 800 is able to replace and/or supplement the agents in all of the embodiments described herein. As shown in FIG. 8, the agent 800 comprises a sequencer 802, a driver 804, a transporter 806 and a monitor 808, wherein the sequencer 802 is coupled with the driver 804, the transporter 806 is coupled with the monitor 808, and the monitor 808 and transporter 806 are coupled with the DUT 212 and/or the interface 222. The transporter 806 is able to be configured to handle all handshaking protocol necessary for communicating with the DUT 212 over the interface 222 such that the monitor 808 is able to be generic in that it is not configured based on the handshake protocol of the interface 222. In particular, by removing the handshaking protocol responsibilities from the monitor 808 with the transporter 806, the monitor 808 is able to be automatically generated for an interface 222 without any knowledge of the protocols of the interface 222.

In some embodiments, the monitor 808 and/or transporter 806 are configured to monitor and/or sample all or a portion of the transaction on the interface 222 every clock cycle. In such embodiments, the transporter 806 is able to indicate to the monitor 808 whether the sampled/monitored data or transaction is valid based on the outcome of the handshake protocol such that the monitor knows whether to send the sampled/monitored data to a scoreboard 210 or reference model 208 within the environment 204. Alternatively, the monitor 808 is able to be configured to only sample all or a portion of the transaction on the interface 222 during clock cycle where the transporter 806 indicates that the data or transaction is valid based on the outcome of the handshake protocol. In some embodiments the transporter 806 is configured to perform the handshaking protocol with the interface 222 and/or DUT 212 every clock cycle. Alternatively, the performance of the handshaking is able to be performed on a transaction basis, operation basis, periodically, on demand, or according to other types of schedules. In some embodiments, the monitor 808 is able to operate without the transporter 506 using a default handshake protocol or no handshake protocol to determine if data is valid.

In some embodiments, the agents 500 and 800 are able to be combined such that the combined agent comprises both a generic driver 504 and a generic monitor 808. In such embodiments, the driver 504 and monitor 808 are able to share the same the transporter 506/806, which is coupled with both the driver 504 and monitor 808. As a result, in such embodiments the transporter 506/806 is able to control both the driver 504 and the monitor 808 based on the outcome of the handshake protocol as described herein. Alternatively, in such embodiments the driver 504 and the monitor 808 are able to have separate transporters 506/806 that are separately coupled to the monitor 808 or the driver 504 and operate as described herein separately.

FIG. 9 illustrates a method of testing a device under test 212 within a verification environment 204 of a testbench 202

21

according to some embodiments. Although described separately, one or more of the steps of the methods of FIGS. 6, 9, 12, 14 and/or 15 are able to be combined into a single method. As shown in FIG. 9, a monitor 808 of an agent 800 within the verification environment 204 monitors and/or samples one or more transactions transmitted on an interface 222 between the agent 800 and the DUT 212 at the step 902. In some embodiments, a new transaction is monitored and/or sampled each clock cycle. Alternatively, one or more of the transactions are able to be multi-cycle such that data related to a single transaction is monitored and/or sampled over multiple cycles. The monitor 808 of the agent 800 monitors a handshake on the DUT 212 according to a handshake protocol at the step 904. Specifically, the transporter 806 and/or driver 804 of the agent 800 are able to perform the handshake with the DUT 212 (via the interface 222) according to the handshake protocol which is monitored by the monitor 808. In other words, the transporter 806 is able to both drive control signals onto and/or sample control signals from an interface 222 in order to effectuate a handshake protocol for that interface 222 and/or DUT 212. In some embodiments, the handshake protocol used is based on interface 222 and/or DUT 212. In some embodiments, the handshake protocol is indicated by the transporter class for the interface 222 within the interface configuration file.

Based on the outcome of the handshake protocol, the monitor 808 determines if the data is ready on the interface 222 and/or DUT 212 at the step 906. The monitor 808 communicates with the transporter 806 to sample the transaction if the outcome of the handshake protocol indicates the transaction is valid at the step 908. The monitor 808 forwards the sampled transaction to a scoreboard 210 or a reference model 208 of the verification environment 204 at the step 910. In other words, the transporter 806 is able to indicate to the monitor 808 whether the transaction or portion of the transaction on the interface 222 should be sampled and forwarded to the scoreboard 210 or reference model 208 because the outcome of the handshake protocol indicates that the transaction is valid or invalid for the cycle or cycles. In some embodiments, the communication is a control command received by the monitor 808 from the transporter 806 indicating the outcome of the handshake protocol. In some embodiments, the monitor 808 discards or fails to forward the transaction to the scoreboard 210 or the reference model 208 if the outcome of the handshake protocol indicates the transaction is invalid. As a result, the method provides the benefit of enabling the monitor 808 to remain generic while still customizing the agent 800 for the interface 222 and/or DUT 212 by using the transporter 806 to control the handshake protocol with the interface 222 and/or DUT 212.

In some embodiments, the method further comprises sending data received from the DUT 212 to the one or more additional agents coupled to the agent 800 within the environment 204. In such embodiments, the handshake protocol used by the transporter 806 is able to be different than a handshake protocol of a transporter of the one or more additional agents. As described above, in some embodiments the handshake protocol is one of three handshake protocols consisting of a one-way handshake protocol without destination flow control, a two-way handshake protocol and a one-way handshake protocol with destination flow control. Alternatively, any number and/or combination of different or the same handshake protocols are able to be used. In some embodiments, the handshake protocol and/or sampling and forwarding based on the outcome of the handshake protocol is able to be performed each clock cycle. In addition, if the transaction is a multi-cycle transaction, the sampling and/or

22

forwarding is able to continue through multiple consecutive clock cycles until the multi-cycle transaction is complete (e.g. based on the end of packet or start of packet indicators). In such multi-cycle embodiments, the handshake protocol is able to still be repeated each cycle to verify that the transaction is still valid or has become invalid.

FIGS. 10A-10C illustrate three exemplary handshake protocol and transaction transfer processes according to some embodiments. The three handshake protocol and transaction transfer processes of FIGS. 10A-10C are substantially similar to those described in FIGS. 7A-7C except for the differences described herein. FIG. 10A illustrates a first process of monitoring a two-way handshake protocol 1002 and transaction transmission 1004 according to some embodiments. In particular, the two-way handshake protocol 1002 comprises sending a first signal "source ready" from the driver 804 and/or transporter 806 to the DUT 212 (via an interface) and sending a second signal "destination ready" from the DUT 212 to the driver 804 and/or transporter 806. According to the handshake protocol 1002, the driver 804 and/or transporter 806 indicates that it is ready to send data (and/or that all control and data signals are valid) when it makes "source ready" high and the DUT 212 indicates that it is ready to accept data when "destination ready" is high. As a result, when both "source ready" and "destination ready" are both high, the outcome of the protocol indicates that transaction transmission(s) 1004 are valid (e.g. for sampling) and when either "source ready" or "destination ready" are low, the outcome of the protocol indicates that transaction transmission(s) 1004 are invalid (e.g. do not need to be sampled or forwarded). This two-way handshake protocol enables either party (driver 804/transporter 806 or DUT 212) to stop transaction transmissions 1004 unilaterally and restricts the beginning of transaction transmissions 1004 to when both parties indicate that they are ready. As shown in FIG. 10A, the transaction transmission 1004 comprises two datasets (data1 and data2) having payloads of 7:0 and 31:0. Alternatively, the transaction transmission 1004 is able to comprise more or less datasets and/or transmission streams each having more or less payload and/or quantity of data. Based on the outcome of the handshake protocol 1002 (as received from the transporter 806), the monitor 808 is able to sample the datasets of the transaction 1004 for forwarding to the reference model 208 or the scoreboard 210. In other words, the monitor 808 is able to determine when the datasets transmitted on the interface 222 are valid datasets (e.g. when "source ready" and "destination ready" are high) that need to be sampled and forwarded to the desired component based on the outcome of the handshake protocol as indicated by the transporter 806.

FIG. 10B illustrates a second process of monitoring a simple or one-way handshake protocol without flow control 1002 and transaction transmission 1004 according to some embodiments. In particular, the one-way handshake protocol without flow control 1002 comprises sending a first signal "valid" from the driver 804 and/or transporter 806 to the DUT 212 (via an interface). According to the handshake protocol 1002, the driver 804 and/or transporter 806 indicates that it is ready to send data when it makes "valid" high (and/or that all control and data signals are valid), but unlike the first process, the driver 804 and/or transporter 806 do not wait for, nor does the DUT 212 send, a signal that indicates that the DUT 212 is ready to accept data. As a result, when "valid" is high, the outcome of the protocol indicates that transaction transmission(s) 1004 are valid (e.g. for sampling) and when "valid" is low, the outcome of the protocol indicates that transaction transmission(s) 1004 are invalid (e.g. do not need to be sampled or forwarded). This one-way handshake protocol

23

puts full control in the driver **804** and/or transporter **806** to stop or start transaction transmissions **1004** unilaterally, wherein the DUT **212** has no flow control and rather is simply notified by the driver **804** and/or transporter **806** when transaction transmission **1004** is incoming. As shown in FIG. **10B**, like FIG. **10A**, the transaction transmission **1004** comprises two datasets (data and data1) having payloads of 7:0 and 31:0. However, the transaction transmission **1004** is able to comprise more or less datasets and/or transmission streams each having more or less payload and/or quantity of data. Based on the outcome of the handshake protocol **1002** (as received from the transporter **806**), the monitor **808** is able to sample the datasets of the transaction **1004** for forwarding to the reference model **208** or the scoreboard **210**. In other words, the monitor **808** is able to determine when the datasets transmitted on the interface **222** are valid datasets (e.g. when “valid” is high) that need to be sampled and forwarded to the desired component based on the outcome of the handshake protocol as indicated by the transporter **806**.

FIG. **10C** illustrates a third process of monitoring a one-way handshake protocol with flow control **1002**, transaction transmission **1004** and start/end of data marking signals **1006** according to some embodiments. In particular, the one-way handshake protocol with flow control **1002** comprises sending a first signal “valid” from the driver **804** and/or transporter **806** to the DUT **212** (via an interface). According to the handshake protocol with flow control **1002**, the driver **804** and/or transporter **806** indicates that it is ready to send data (and/or that all control and data signals are valid) when it makes “valid” high and, like the second process, the driver **804** and/or transporter **806** does not wait for, nor does the DUT **212** send, a signal that indicates that the DUT **212** is ready to accept data. However, unlike the second process, the one-way handshake protocol with flow control **1002** enables the DUT **212** to send the signal “flow control” to indicate when the DUT **212** can no longer accept more data. As a result, when “valid” is high and “flow control” is low, the outcome of the protocol indicates that transaction transmission(s) **1004** are valid (e.g. for sampling) and when “valid” is low or “flow control” is high, the outcome of the protocol indicates that transaction transmission(s) **1004** are invalid (e.g. do not need to be sampled or forwarded). This one-way handshake protocol with flow control puts control in the driver **804** and/or transporter **806** over the start of transaction transmissions **1004** unilaterally, but gives the DUT **212** the ability to stop the transaction transmissions **1004** using the flow control signal “flow control”. For multi-cycle transactions, in some embodiments the first signal portion of the third process is only performed in the first cycle such that subsequent cycles of the multi-cycle transaction comprise repeating the checking for the “flow control” going high in order to indicate that any further data is invalid. Alternatively, even for multi-cycle transactions the first signal portion is able to be repeated along with the “flow control” checking each cycle of the transaction until the multi-cycle transaction is complete.

Further, as shown in FIG. **10C**, the third process is able to comprise start/end of data marking signals **1006**. These signals **1006** are able to comprise a start of packet (sop) signal and an end of packet (eop) signal that indicate the first data cycle and the last data cycle of the packet (of the transaction transmission **1004**), respectively. As a result, the start/end of data marking signals **1006** are able to be used with larger packets and/or transaction transmissions **1004** in order to indicate to the DUT **212** when each packet (or transaction **1004**) starts and/or stops. For example, if the driver **804** and/or transporter **806** is sending data at the rate of 32 bits per clock cycle, but the packets and/or chunks are greater than 32

24

bits of data (e.g. 64 or 128 bytes/chunks), the start/end of data marking signals **1006** are able to be used to mark the end and the beginning of the packets and/or chunks. Alternatively, the start/end of data marking signals **1006** are able to be omitted from the third process. Additionally, it should be noted that the start/end of data marking signals **1006** are able to be added to the first, second and/or any other handshake processes described herein as desired to deal with longer transaction transmissions **1004**. Additionally, as shown in FIG. **10C**, the transaction transmission **1004** comprises one dataset (data) having a payload of 31:0. However, similar to above, the transaction transmission **1004** is able to comprise more or less datasets and/or transmission streams each having more or less payload and/or quantity of data.

Based on the outcome of the handshake protocol **1002** (as received from the transporter **806**), the monitor **808** is able to sample the datasets of the transaction **1004** for forwarding to the reference model **208** or the scoreboard **210**. Further, the monitor **808** is able to base the beginning and end of its sampling and/or forwarding on the start/end of packets and/or transactions **1004** based on the data marking signals **1006**. In some embodiments, the marking signals **1006** are directly received and determined by the monitor **808** from the interface **222**. Alternatively, the marking signals **1006** are able to be determined based on the command signal from the transporter **806** and/or forwarding of the marking signals **1006** from the transporter **806** to the monitor **808**. In any case, the monitor **808** is able to determine when the datasets transmitted on the interface **222** are valid datasets (e.g. when “valid” is high and/or the data is between the sop and eop) that need to be sampled and forwarded to the desired component based on the outcome of the handshake protocol as indicated by the transporter **806**. Additionally, it should be noted that although FIGS. **10A-10C** illustrate the above described three handshake protocol types, it is understood that other types of handshake protocols are able to be used such a three-way handshakes with or without flow control or data marking and other types of handshake protocols as known in the art. Further, for each of the processes, the size of the data sampled (e.g. 32 bits) is able to be determined by the monitor **808** based on the marking signals **1006**, indications from the transporter **806**, a default number, or a combination thereof. Testbench Builder Application

The testbench application is able to comprise an input module, an agent generation module, an interconnection module, a test case module and user interface for interacting with the application. The input module is configured to input interface data (e.g. an interface file) that describes the interfaces **222** associated with the DUT **212** for testing the DUT **212**. For example, a single interface file is able to be input by the input module, wherein all of the agents are able to be generated and if necessary customized based on the single interface file such that each interface associated with the DUT **212** is described in the interface file. In some embodiments, the interface data is able to comprise an extensible markup language (XML) file. Alternatively, the interface data is able to comprise other types of file formats. In some embodiments, the input module enables a user to manually input interface data. Alternatively or in addition, the input module is able to automatically generate interface data based on an input DUT data. For example, based on the inputs, outputs and protocols associated with the DUT **212**, the input module is able to determine a necessary number of interfaces, type of interfaces (input, output, both), protocol and/or transaction format associated with each interface, and or other information about each of the interfaces that are necessary for testing the DUT **212**. The interface data is able to comprise one or more of a

25

number of needed interfaces, a name of all the ports in the interface, a width of each port (e.g. number of bits), whether each port is a control or data port, a direction of the port (e.g. input or output) with respect to the DUT 212, a transaction class of each of the interfaces and/or a transporter class of each of the interfaces. Alternatively, the interface data is able to omit the transporter class and/or transaction class of one or more of the interfaces, which indicates that the interface has a default transporter and/or transaction class.

The transaction class is able to indicate what types of data stimulus or transactions that the interface 222 and/or DUT 212 is able to understand. In other words, the transaction class is a representation of the DUT interface in a higher level of abstraction. As a result, the sequencer 214 of the agent 206 associated with the interface 222 is able to utilize stimulus data that is of the indicated transaction class for the interface 222 in the interface data. The transporter class is able to indicate what communication protocol or format (e.g. handshake) that the interface 222 and/or DUT 212 require for communication. For example, the transporter class is able to be one of a two-way handshake, delayed or destination flow control (DFC), one-way handshake (no flow control), or other types of communication protocol as are known in the art. In some embodiments, the default transporter class is a two-way handshake such that the generic agents 206 will be automatically configured to use the two-way handshake communication protocol. Alternatively, a different one of the transporter classes are able to be assigned as the default class that each non-customized agent utilizes. The transporter class is able to determine which of the handshake protocols that the transporter 506 of an agent 500 is configured to use for the associated interface 222.

The agent generation module is configured to generate each agent 206 necessary for the DUT 212 based on interfaces 222 indicated in interface data input by the input module. Specifically, the agent generation module generates a generic or default agent 206 for each of the interfaces 222 indicated in the interface data inputted by the input module. These generic agents 206 are able to each comprise a driver, a sequencer and a monitor that are configured to use a default protocol and default transaction class. In some embodiments, the generic driver of the agents 206 is substantially similar to the generic driver 504 such that it does not handle any handshake protocol between the agent 206 and the interface 222. If necessary based on the interface data, the agent generation module is then able to customize the generic agents based on the interface data of the interface associated with each generic agent. For example, the agent generation module is able to determine the transaction and/or transporter class for the interface 222 and configure the sequencer 214 to operate based on the transaction class and/or configure the driver 220 and monitor 216 to operate based on the transporter class. Additionally, in some embodiments the customization comprises creating a transporter 506 configured to use the handshake protocol associated with the transporter class and thereby operate with the driver 504 as described above with reference to FIG. 5. As a result, each of the agents 206 are able to be automatically generated for and customized based on each interface 222 necessary to test the DUT 212. In other words, the agents 206 that do not need to be customized are generated and immediately ready to operate based on the default transaction and transporter types and the agents 206 that do need to be modified are able to be simply reset to a non-default transaction and/or transporter class and then are ready to operate with the associated interfaces 222. For example, a non-customized agent 206 is able to utilize a driver 504 that operates without

26

or independent of a transporter 506 and does not utilize a handshake protocol or uses a default handshake protocol.

In some embodiments, the agent generation module is able to sort all types of interfaces 222 into one of the three transporter classes or handshake protocols such that the set of transporter classes is able to only include the three handshake protocols regardless of the number of different types of interfaces 222 required for the DUT 212. In some embodiments, the agent generation module generates the generic agents 206 for all of the interfaces 222 and then customizes one or more of the agents 206 as necessary based on the associated interface 222. Alternatively, the agent generation module is able to generate a generic agent 206 and customize the generic agent 206 if necessary based on the associated interface 222 before generating the next generic agent 206 for the next interface 222. In some embodiments, the agent generation module instantiates the agents 206 within the environment 204 after all the agents 206 have been generated and/or customized. Alternatively, the agent generation module instantiates each of the agents 206 within the environment 204 after the agent 206 has been generated and/or customized. In some embodiments, the agent generation module is able to dynamically change the transaction and/or transporter class of one or more agents 206 within the verification environment 204 without creating a new testbench 202. For example, a user is able to enter commands to add, subtract and/or modify one or more of the agents 206 using the user interface and the agent generation module is able to implement the changes to the agents 206 within the environment 204 automatically. As a result, the testbench builder application provides the benefit of automatically creating generic and customized agents 206 for each interface 222 necessary to test a DUT 212.

The interconnection module is configured to generate a verification environment 204 for the testbench 202. Specifically, the interconnection module generates a reference model 208 and a scoreboard 210 and integrates and instantiates the reference model 208, the scoreboard 210, the ports, the interfaces 222 and all of the agents 206 into the verification environment 204. Further, this integration is able to comprise establishing interconnections between the reference model 208, the scoreboard 210, the agents 206, DUT 212 and the interfaces 222 via the ports (e.g. RTL ports). In particular, the interconnection module is able to couple the ports of the DUT 212 with the interfaces 222. For example, if one or more of the agents 206 comprise loopback ports, the interconnection module is able to couple one or more of the agents 206 together via the loopback ports. As described above, this loopback port coupling is able to be based on the dependency of the testing data associated with each of the agents 206 with respect to testing the DUT 212. In particular, the interconnection module is able to automatically couple the loopback ports of any combination of agents 206 whose testing data has a dependency relationship such that via the loopback connections all the agents 206 with the depended upon testing data send the associated dependency data to all the agents 206 with testing data that is dependent on the depended upon testing data. Indeed, in some embodiments the dependent relationships of the agents 206 is able to be automatically determined based on the interface parameters such that the loopback port coupling is able to be automatically performed by the interconnection module based on the interface configuration file. The interconnection module is also able to register each of the interfaces 222 in a configuration database with a unique string or other identifier such that each interface 222 is able to be used by one or more components (e.g. agents, scoreboard, monitor) within the environment 204 via a string or unique identifier based lookup in the configuration database. More-

27

over, in some embodiments the interconnection module is able to bind the output/input of one or more of the agents **206** to one or more other agents **206** within the environment **204**.

The test case module is configured to generate one or more random or predefined test cases for generating stimulus data for testing the DUT **212** with the testbench **202**. For example, the test case module is able to generate a sample test based on the DUT **212** and/or interface data for testing the DUT **212** once the testbench **202** has been generated. The user interface is configured to provide users a graphical user interface for issuing commands to the testbench **202** and/or the testbench builder application. For example, a user is able to specify a test case based on which stimulus data is used to test the DUT **212**, a user is able to adjust the reference module **208** operation in order to reflect changes in the desired operation, and/or a user is able to add, subtract and/or modify one or more of the agents **206** via the transaction and/or transporter class values as desired. In particular, as described above, the testbench builder application is able to dynamically change the testbench **202** and/or verification environment **204** (without creating a whole new testbench) based on such commands received from the user interface. It should also be noted that the testbench builder application is also able to dynamically change the testbench **202** and/or verification environment **204** (without creating a whole new testbench) based on changed interface data received by the input module. In either case, the testbench builder application provides the benefit of being able to dynamically change a testbench **202** without recreating the verification environment **204** and/or one or more of its components (e.g. agents).

FIG. 3 illustrates a method of generating a test bench according to some embodiments. As shown in FIG. 3, a testbench builder application reads an interface configuration file associated with a DUT **212** at the step **302**. The testbench builder application then identifies one or more interfaces **222** associated with the DUT **212** defined in the interface configuration file at the step **304**. Based on the identified interfaces **222**, the application generates a verification environment **204** within the testbench **202** including a base agent **206** for each of the interfaces **222** in the interface configuration file at the step **306**. In some embodiments, the generating of the verification environment further comprises generating one of more of the group comprising a reference model **208**, a dispatcher **1124** and a scoreboard **210**. In some embodiments, the characteristics of the base agents **206** are predefined independent of the interface configuration file. For example, if the base agents **206** are associated with interfaces **222** that do not require any customization, the application is able to apply default settings to the transporter and/or transaction class of the base agents **206** independent of the interface configuration file. These base agents **206** have default settings that enable them to operate within the verification environment **204** without customization.

Based on interface parameters of the interface configuration file, the application is able to customize one or more of the base agents **206** that are associated with the described interface parameters at the step **308**. As a result, the customized base agents **206** are able to meet the transporter and/or transaction class needs of the interface **222** with which they are associated. Then the application instantiates the customized base agents **206** in the verification environment **204** at the step **310**. This instantiation is able to further comprise instantiation of one or more of the group comprising the base agents **206**, the scoreboard **210**, the reference module **208** and the dispatcher **1124**, and the coupling/binding of all of the agents **206**, interfaces **222**, the DUT **212**, the scoreboard **210**, dispatcher **224** and/or the reference module **208** together as

28

desired via one or more ports. In particular, the instantiation and/or generation of the dispatcher **224** is able to comprise coupling all the agents **206** to one of the ports of the dispatcher **224** and generating the agent table **226** which associates the agents/interfaces/ports that are coupled to the dispatcher **224** with the scoreboard **210** or the reference model **208** as described above. For example, the agent table **226** is able to associate the addresses of the ports that receive the data from the agents **206** with the address of the port coupled to the scoreboard **210** or the address of the port coupled to the reference model **208**. In some embodiments, the interface parameters for each of the interfaces **222** comprise names of the ports associated with the interface, width of the ports associated with the interface, direction of the ports associated with the interface, and whether each of the ports associated with the interface is for communicating control data or non-control data. Additionally, in some embodiments the agent table **226** is generated by the builder application based on the interface parameters and the binding of the ports within the verification environment.

FIG. 4 illustrates a block diagram of an exemplary testbench builder device **102** configured to implement the testbench builder application **103** according to some embodiments. The testbench builder device **102** is able to acquire, store, compute, communicate and/or display information such as images and videos. In general, a hardware structure suitable for implementing the device **102** includes a network interface **402**, a memory **404**, a processor **406**, I/O device(s) **408**, a bus **410** and a storage device **412**. Alternatively, one or more of the illustrated components are able to be removed or substituted for other components well known in the art. The choice of processor is not critical as long as a suitable processor with sufficient speed is chosen. The memory **404** is able to be any conventional computer memory known in the art. The storage device **412** is able to include a hard drive, CDROM, CDRW, DVD, DVDRW, flash memory card or any other storage device. The testbench builder device **102** is able to include one or more network interfaces **402**. An example of a network interface includes a network card connected to an Ethernet or other type of LAN. The I/O device(s) **408** are able to include one or more of the following: keyboard, mouse, monitor, display, printer, modem, touchscreen, button interface and other devices. Testbench builder application(s) or module(s) **103** used to generate the testbench as described above are able to be stored in the storage device **412** and/or memory **404** and processed as applications are typically processed. More or less components shown in FIG. 4 are able to be included in testbench builder device **102**. In some embodiments, testbench builder hardware **420** is included. Although as shown in FIG. 4 the testbench builder device **102** includes applications **103** and hardware **420** for implementing the testbench generation, it is understood that the testbench builder device **102** is able to be implemented on a computing device in solely hardware, firmware or software, or any combination thereof.

In some embodiments, the testbench builder application(s) **103** include several applications and/or modules. In some embodiments, the testbench builder application(s) **103** include a separate module for each of the graphical user interface features described above. The modules implement the method described herein. In some embodiments, fewer or additional modules are able to be included. Examples of suitable computing devices include a personal computer, a laptop computer, a computer workstation, a server, a mainframe computer, a handheld computer, a personal digital assistant, a cellular/mobile telephone, a smart appliance, a gaming console, a digital camera, a digital camcorder, a cam-

era phone, an iPod®, a video player, a DVD writer/player, a Blu-ray® writer/player, a television, a home entertainment system or any other suitable computing device.

The testbench builder system, method and device described herein provides numerous advantages. In particular, the builder provides the advantage of automatically creating a fully operational testbench based on inputted interface data. Additionally, the builder provides the advantage of enabling the dynamic adjustment of the testbench via the user interface or a modified interface file without having the fully recreate a new testbench. Moreover, the builder provides the advantage of automatically generating generic agents for interfaces that do not require a customized agent thereby increasing the speed of the testbench generation process. Further, the testbench system provides the benefit of enabling the generic drivers to be generated independent of the interface characteristics such as handshake protocol. Additionally, the dispatcher provides the benefit of reducing the connection complexity of the verification environment. In particular, the dispatcher provides a single component that all the agents are able to be coupled and automatically send data to instead of each agent needing a separate connection established to either the reference model or a single line to the scoreboard. As a result, the dispatcher is able to efficiently route the data either on a single connection to the reference model or a single line to the scoreboard. Moreover, the loopback ports provide the advantage of enabling testing data dependency to be handled on an agent level without the use of a top level multi-layer or virtual sequencer. Also, the use of timestamps provides the advantage of enabling the each of the agents to adjust for latency within the verification environment without the use of a virtual or multilayer sequencer.

While the invention has been described with reference to numerous specific details, one of ordinary skill in the art will recognize that the invention can be embodied in other specific forms without departing from the spirit of the invention. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art will understand that the invention is not to be limited by the foregoing illustrative details, but rather is to be defined by the appended claims.

We claim:

1. A testbench system stored on a non-transitory computer readable medium for testing operation of a device under test, the testbench system comprising:

a plurality of agents coupled with the device under test, wherein one or more of the plurality of agents are configured to output one or more transactions to the device under test and a different one or more of the plurality of agents are configured to input one or more device responses to the transactions from the device under test; and

a dispatcher including an agent table and coupled with a reference model, a scoreboard and the plurality of agents, wherein the dispatcher is configured to:

input data comprising a copy of each of the one or more transactions and the one or more device responses to the transactions;

identify whether each portion of the data is one of the copies of each of the one or more transactions or one of the device responses based on the agent table; and route each portion of data identified as one of the copies of each of the one or more transactions to the reference model and each portion of data identified as one of the one or more device responses to the scoreboard.

2. The system of claim 1, wherein the reference model is configured to mimic a desired function of the device under

test by producing a reference response to each of a copy of the one or more transactions based on the desired function and the transaction.

3. The system of claim 2, wherein the scoreboard is coupled with the reference module and configured to receive each of the reference responses from the reference model and for each transaction compare the associated reference response to the associated device response.

4. The system of claim 3, wherein in the agent table each of the plurality of agents is associated with either the reference model if the agent is one of the one or more of the plurality of agents that are configured to output the transactions to the device under test or the scoreboard if the agent is one of the different one or more of the plurality of agents that are configured to input the device responses from the device under test.

5. The system of claim 4, wherein the dispatcher determines if data inputted is a copy of the copies of the transaction or one of the device responses by identifying whether the scoreboard or the reference model is associated with the agent of the plurality of agents that sent the data within the agent table.

6. The system of claim 5, wherein none of the plurality of agents are coupled to the scoreboard or the reference model.

7. The system of claim 6, wherein each of the plurality of agents comprise a monitor configured to sample the transactions and the device responses on an interface between the agent and the device under test and to automatically forward the sampled transactions and device responses to the dispatcher.

8. The system of claim 7, wherein each of the plurality of agents comprise a sequencer configured to produce a transaction for testing the device under test and a generic driver coupled with the sequencer, wherein the driver is configured to receive the transaction from the sequencer.

9. The system of claim 8, wherein each of the plurality of agents comprise a transporter coupled with the monitor and the driver and coupled with the device under test via the interface, wherein the transporter is configured to perform a handshake protocol with the device under test over the interface based on a class of the interface.

10. The system of claim 9, further comprising one or more additional agents coupled with one or more of the plurality of agents, wherein the one or more of the plurality of agents are configured to send data received from the device under test to the one or more additional agents.

11. The system of claim 10, wherein the handshake protocol comprises one of the group consisting of a one-way handshake protocol without destination flow control, a two-way handshake protocol and a one-way handshake protocol with destination flow control.

12. The system of claim 11, wherein the handshake protocol of the transporter of at least one of the plurality of agents is different than the handshake protocol of the transporter of a different one of the plurality of agents.

13. The system of claim 12, wherein the device under test is a virtual emulation of an electronic device.

14. A testbench builder for generating a testbench for verification of a device under test, wherein the testbench builder is stored on a non-transitory computer readable medium and configured to perform a method comprising:

reading an interface configuration file associated with the device under test and identifying a plurality of interfaces associated with the device under test defined in the interface configuration file; and

generating a verification environment including an agent for each of the interfaces in the interface configuration

31

file, a scoreboard, a reference module and a dispatcher, wherein each of the agents are coupled with the device under test, one or more of the agents are configured to output one or more transactions to the device under test, and a different one or more of the agents are configured to input one or more device responses to the transactions from the device under test, and further wherein the dispatcher includes an agent table and is coupled with the reference model, the scoreboard and the agents, wherein the dispatcher is configured to:

input data comprising a copy of each of the one or more transactions and the one or more device responses to the transactions;

identify whether each portion of the data is one of the copies of each of the one or more transactions or one of the device responses based on the agent table; and route each portion of data identified as one of the copies of each of the one or more transactions to the reference model and each portion of data identified as one of the one or more device responses to the scoreboard.

15. The testbench builder of claim 14, wherein the reference model is configured to mimic a desired function of the device under test by producing a reference response to each of a copy of the one or more transactions based on the desired function and the transaction.

16. The testbench builder of claim 15, wherein the scoreboard is coupled with the reference module and configured to receive each of the reference responses from the reference model and for each transaction compare the associated reference response to the associated device response.

17. The testbench builder of claim 16, wherein in the agent table each of the plurality of agents is associated with either the reference model if the agent is one of the one or more of the plurality of agents that are configured to output the transactions to the device under test or the scoreboard if the agent is one of the different one or more of the plurality of agents that are configured to input the device responses from the device under test.

18. The testbench builder of claim 17, wherein generating the verification environment comprises generating the associations within the agent table based on interface parameters of the interface associated with the agent as defined in the interface configuration file.

19. The testbench builder of claim 18, wherein the dispatcher determines if the data inputted is a copy of the copies of the transactions or one of the device responses by identifying whether the scoreboard or the reference model is associated with the agent of the plurality of agents that sent the data within the agent table.

20. The testbench builder of claim 19, wherein none of the plurality of agents are coupled to the scoreboard or the reference model.

21. The testbench builder of claim 20, wherein each of the plurality of agents comprise a monitor configured to sample the transactions and the device responses on an interface between the agent and the device under test and to automatically forward the sampled transactions and device responses to the dispatcher.

22. The testbench builder of claim 21, wherein each of the plurality of agents comprise a sequencer configured to produce a transaction for testing the device under test and a generic driver coupled with the sequencer, wherein the driver is configured to receive the transaction from the sequencer.

23. The testbench builder of claim 22, wherein each of the plurality of agents comprise a transporter coupled with the monitor and the driver and coupled with the device under test via the interface, wherein the transporter is configured to

32

perform a handshake protocol with the device under test over the interface based on a class of the interface.

24. The testbench builder of claim 23, further comprising one or more additional agents coupled with one or more of the plurality of agents, wherein the one or more of the plurality of agents are configured to send data received from the device under test to the one or more additional agents.

25. The testbench builder of claim 24, wherein the handshake protocol comprises one of the group consisting of a one-way handshake protocol without destination flow control, a two-way handshake protocol and a one-way handshake protocol with destination flow control.

26. The testbench builder of claim 25, wherein the handshake protocol of the transporter of at least one of the plurality of agents is different than the handshake protocol of the transporter of a different one of the plurality of agents.

27. The testbench builder of claim 26, wherein the device under test is a virtual emulation of an electronic device.

28. A method of testing a device under test within a verification environment of a testbench, the method comprising: inputting data from a plurality of agents within the verification environment with a dispatcher, wherein the plurality of agents are coupled with the device under test, one or more of the plurality of agents are configured to output one or more transactions to the device under test, and a different one or more of the plurality of agents are configured to input one or more device responses to the transactions from the device under test, and further wherein the data comprises a copy of each of the one or more transactions and the one or more device responses to the transactions;

identifying with the dispatcher whether each portion of the data is one of the copies of each of the one or more transactions or one of the device responses based on the agent table; and

routing, with the dispatcher, each portion of data identified as one of the copies of each of the one or more transactions to a reference model and each portion of data identified as one of the one or more device responses to a scoreboard.

29. The method of claim 28, wherein the reference model is configured to mimic a desired function of the device under test by producing a reference response to each of a copy of the one or more transactions based on the desired function and the transaction.

30. The method of claim 29, wherein the scoreboard is coupled with the reference module and configured to receive each of the reference responses from the reference model and for each transaction compare the associated reference response to the associated device response.

31. The method of claim 30, wherein the dispatcher comprises an agent table and in the agent table each of the plurality of agents is associated with either the reference model if the agent is one of the one or more of the plurality of agents that are configured to output the transactions to the device under test or the scoreboard if the agent is one of the different one or more of the plurality of agents that are configured to input the device responses from the device under test.

32. The method of claim 31, further comprising identifying whether the scoreboard or the reference model is associated with the agent of the plurality of agents that sent the data within the agent table with the dispatcher in order to determine if the data inputted is a copy of the copies of the transactions or one of the device responses.

33. The method of claim 32, wherein none of the plurality of agents are coupled to the scoreboard or the reference model.

34. The method of claim 33, wherein each of the plurality of agents comprise a monitor configured to sample the transactions and the device responses on an interface between the agent and the device under test and to automatically forward the sampled transactions and device responses to the dispatcher. 5

35. The method of claim 34, wherein each of the plurality of agents comprise a sequencer configured to produce a transaction for testing the device under test and a generic driver coupled with the sequencer, wherein the driver is configured to receive the transaction from the sequencer. 10

36. The method of claim 35, wherein each of the plurality of agents comprise a transporter coupled with the monitor and the driver and coupled with the device under test via the interface, wherein the transporter is configured to perform a handshake protocol with the device under test over the interface based on a class of the interface. 15

37. The method of claim 36, wherein at least one of the plurality of agents is configured to send the device responses received from the device under test to one or more additional agents within the verification environment. 20

38. The method of claim 37, wherein the handshake protocol comprises one of the group consisting of a one-way handshake protocol without destination flow control, a two-way handshake protocol and a one-way handshake protocol with destination flow control. 25

39. The method of claim 38, wherein the handshake protocol of the transporter of at least one of the plurality of agents is different than the handshake protocol of the transporter of a different one of the plurality of agents. 30

40. The method of claim 39, wherein the device under test is a virtual emulation of an electronic device.

* * * * *